

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/073,226  | 02/13/2002     | Naoya Yamato         | 219107US0               | 4816             |
| 22850 7   | 590 04/18/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| 1940 DUKE S'<br>ALEXANDRI                         |                |                      | KIM, VICKIE Y           |                  |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                      | 1614                    | /                |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003 | b                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |                            | Application No.                | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
|   |                            | 10/073,226                     | YAMATO ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|   |                            | Examiner                       | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE  | of this communication and  | Vickie Kim                     | 1614  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to comm   | nunication(s) filed on     | <u> </u>                       |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is FINAL  | . 2b)□ Th                  | is action is non-final.        |   |  |  |  |
|   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are   | pending in the application | 1.                             |   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are  | objected to.               |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c  | ·                          | ,,                             |   |  |  |  |
| <u></u>   | of the priority document   | s have been received.          |   |  |  |  |
|   |                            | s have been received in Applic | ation No  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                            |                                |   |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement  | Prawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Inform            | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/073,226

Art Unit: 1614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a compound of general formula (i), classified in class 536.
  - II. Claims 5-7, drawn to a gelling agent comprises at least one compound of the group I, classified in class 514.
  - III. Claims 8-10, drawn to a gel composition comprising a gelling agent of the group II and at least one oil, classified in class 514.
  - IV. Claim 11, drawn to a cosmetic comprising a gel composition of the groupIII, classified in class 424.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II(III or IV) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search required for each group is not same, wherein a reference which anticipates the invention of Group I would not render the invention of Group II, III or IV obvious, absent ancillary art, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Even if there

were unity of classification, the search of entire groups and/or genus in the non-patent literature(especially, non-patent literature) and database search (a significant part of a thorough examination) would be burdensome, it is undue burden for examiner for the accurate and proper examination, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

# Conclusion

# 3. No claim is allowed.

All the pending claims 1-11 are subject to the restriction requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickie Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-1675.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on 703-308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3165 for regular communications and 703-746-3165 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Vickie Kim,

Patent examiner April 10, 2003

Art unit 1614